UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,443	01/18/2006	Jean-Noel Thorel	126358	3525
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 12/29/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	SIMMONS WILLIS, TRACEY A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		,	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/562,443	THOREL, JEAN-NOEL
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		TRACEY SIMMONS WILLIS	1619
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATU WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	R, FROM THE MAILING DA able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. mailing date of this communication. I above, the maximum statutory period vextended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3 ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI of date of this communication, even if timely filed	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action is FINA 3) ☐ Since this applicat	on is in condition for allowar	uly 2009. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/: 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>8-11 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/:	<u>14-25</u> is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	quest that any objection to the g sheet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected in the content of the attached office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some 1.⊠ Certified cop 2.□ Certified cop 3.□ Copies of th application f	* c) None of: bies of the priority document bies of the priority document e certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application it is a second to the contract of the cont	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (I 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Stater Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03062	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II including claims 8-11 and 14-25 in the reply filed on July 23, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter is related and no search burden is present. This is not found persuasive because the inventions were shown to lack a special technical feature over prior art was established in accordance with 37 CFR 1.499.

Upon further consideration, the election of species was withdrawn. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 8-11 and 14-25 are pending in the current application and are being considered on their merits.

Claims 1-7 and 12-13 have been cancelled. This is the first Office Action on the merits of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9, 11, and 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 7,195,787 (2007, Pykett et al) in view of "Antioxidant activity, lipid peroxidation and skin diseases. What's new," (2003, Briganti et al) and evidenced by

"Antioxidants in Prevention of Reperfusion Damage of Vascular Endothelium" (2000, Wall).

The instant claims recite a method for treating acne, seborrheic dermatitis, or skin disorders, due to the formation of comedos, the method comprising applying to skin a dermatological and/or cosmetic composition comprising at least one lipophilic antioxidant selected from the group consisting of gallates, flavonoids extracted from gingko biloba, and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT). The composition further comprises a hydrophilic antioxidant selected from mannitol and vitamin C. The total amount of antioxidant in the composition is from 0.0001 to 20% wt of the composition.

Pykett teaches topical cosmetic compositions for application to the skin to protect against oxidative damage (sunlight, etc.) related to aging, comprising a synergistic mixture of anti-free-radical agents selected from compound such as ascorbic acid (hydrophilic antioxidant) and herbal extracts from gingko biloba (lipophilic antioxidant), *inter alia* [abstract]. *claims 8, 9, and 11* Antioxidant activity from gingko biloba is believed to be from flavonglycocides [col 2, line 37]. One embodiment includes mannitol (hydrophilic antioxidant) and BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene and lipophilic antioxidant) [col 16, Example 13]. *claims 8, 9, and 11* The total amount of antioxidants in the composition ranges from 0.05 to 10% [col 2, line 56]. *claims 14-25* The compositions were applied to the skin of the forearm [col 28, lines 58-59].

Pykett does not teach treatment of acne, seborrheic dermatitis, or skin disorders.

Briganti teaches a correlation between oxidative stress and skin disease such as acne [pg 664, col 2]. Briganti suggests antioxidant supplementation could be a therapeutic approach to atopic dermatitis [pg 664, col 2]. In comedo lesions, reactive oxidative species (ROS) overflows

due to a lack of inhibitors topical application of antioxidants can be effective in improving the efficacy or preventing deleterious effects of therapeutics for acne [pg 666, col 1].

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to use antioxidants such as BHT and gingko extract to treat skin diseases and acne as Briganti teaches use of antioxidants for treatment of dermatitis and acne.

While Pykett does not teach use of mannitol as an antioxidant, this property was known in the art as evidenced by Wall [pg 69, col 1].

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pykett in view Briganti and evidenced by Wall as applied to claims 8-9, 11, and 14-25 above, and further in view of U.S. Pre-grant Application Publication 2003/0095940 (Schiltz).

The instant claims are as recited above. The claims further require dodecyl gallate as a lipophilic antioxidant.

The combined teachings of Pykett, Briganti, and Wall are relied upon above.

None of the references teach dodecyl gallate.

Schiltz teaches anti-ageing compositions that can include antioxidants such as dodecyl gallate [pg 4, par 27]. *claim 10*

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to add dodecyl gallate to the composition of Pykett with a reasonable expectation of success as the Pykett teaches a mixture of antioxidants.

Therefore the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious at the time it was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,443

Art Unit: 1619

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TRACEY SIMMONS WILLIS whose telephone number is

(571)270-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8:30 am to

5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached at (571)272-0871. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/T. S.W./

Examiner, Art Unit 1619

/YVONNE L. EYLER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1619

Page 5